

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/734,301	12/12/2000	Robert C. Oswald	2275-010 3881		
7590 01/14/2004			EXAMINER		
Lowell W. Gresham MESCHKOW & GRESHAM, P.L.C.			SAETHER, FLEMMING		
Suite 409	CORESHAM, P.L.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
5727 North Seve	enth Street	3679			
Phoenix, AZ 85014			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-								
-		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/734,30	1	OSWALD, ROBE	RT C.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Flemming		3679				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE WAY THE COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory property in the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the adapted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1:136(a). In no ever on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	AT L ? CON ht, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed ± α	ely.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 November 20	<u>03</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a) 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 2) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince ference was included in the first sentence.	ments have been ments have been priority docume bureau (PCT Rule a list of the certifuestic priority unhe first sentence pe provisional appressic priority unmestic priority unmestic priority un	n received. n received in Application received in Application its have been received at 17.2(a)). ied copies not received at 35 U.S.C. § 119(c) of the specification or plication has been received at 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this Nationa d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachmen			A) [] 1=4:=:1: 0	(DTO 442) B1	v(c)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/734,301

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dove (US 3,977,142) in view of Ditka (US 5,867,958), Rosenberg (US 1,485,202) and Hoepker (US 5,261,770). Dove discloses a drive pin in the environment as defined in the claims (ie. sheet material fastener) including spiral grooves (19) having a minor diameter smaller than a base diameter (column 4, first complete paragraph) but, does not disclose the angle of the spiral grooves as claimed. Ditka disclose a drive pin including the angle of the spiral grooves relative to the longitudinal axis between 0 and 45 degrees which includes the angles which are claimed, the pin of Ditka is intended to use in a different environment (ie. masonry). Rosenberg is a linking reference which teaches the use of the same spiral grooved fastener in both environments. In view of the teaching of Rosenberg, the person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized to angle the spiral grooves of Dove as disclosed in Ditka in order to optimize both the insertion and retention of the pin. The specific sizes of the pin would have been recognized since it is well known to vary the sizes of pin fasteners depending upon the particular application. Neither Dove, Ditka nor Rosenberg discloses an ogival-shaped tip. Hoepker discloses a drive pin having an ogival-shaped tip. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the tip of modified Dove with an ogival-shaped tip as disclosed in Hoepker in order to facilitate automated hammering of the fastener. The automated hammering is a more efficient method and the ogival-shaped tip is optimal to facilitate such an operation a disclosed in Hoepker.

Application/Control Number: 09/734,301

Art Unit: 3679

•

Response to Arguments

To clarify, the use of "ballistic" and "bullet-shaped" was not objected to as asserted but instead, the examiner merely suggested language believed to be more specific to the shape disclosed. Applicant is invited to use either "ballistic" or "bullet-shaped" but, as in the previous office actions such terminology must be considered broadly. Finally, so there is no confusion, "ogival-shaped" is not new matter.

As conceded above, neither Dove nor Ditka disclose an ogival-shaped tip and therefore, the arguments directed thereto are now moot. However, as alluded to the previous office action, the reference to Hoepker discloses the ogival-shaped tip.

The examiner disagrees with applicant's remarks in that the structure of Hoepker is sufficiently different to render a union with Dove and Ditka unobvious. As discussed in the above rejection, providing the fastener of modified Dove with an ogival-shaped tip would have been obvious to improve the operation of the fastener.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/734,301

Art Unit: 3679

Page 4

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 703-308-0182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159.

Flemming Saether
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679